

APPLICATION NO.

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

FILING DATE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office. Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1430
www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.

13313 9149

EXAMINER

02/28/2000 09/514,141 Man-Chun Tse 32292 7590 06/16/2004 **OGILVY RENAULT (PWC)** LAO, LUN S 1981 MCGILL COLLEGE AVENUE ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER **SUITE 1600** MONTREAL, QC H3A 2Y3 2643 CANADA DATE MAILED: 06/16/2004

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/514,141	TSE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Lun-See Lao	2643
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
1)☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 M     2a)☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☒ This     3)☐ Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under B	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4)  Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examine is/are: av   according to the period of the perio	wn from consideration. or election requirement. er.	-vaminor
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>		
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	(PTO-413) ate atent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2643

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Introduction

1. This action is response to the amendment filed on 03/31/2004. Claims 1-11 are pending.

## Specification

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Application/Control Number: 09/514,141 Page 3

Art Unit: 2643

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gliebe (US PAT.5,478,199) in view of Motsinger et al. (US PAT. 3,693,749).

Consider claim 1 Gliebe teaches a method for suppressing noise having a primary tone from a noise source within a duct housing comprising:

modulating the primary tone of the noise (blade pass frequency (BPF)) using the generated exciting sound wave to excite within the duct housing a fluid medium (26) in which a sound wave of the noise propagates so that sound energy of the noise is redistributed from the frequency of the primary tone to a broad range of side bands and the amplitude of the primary tone of the noise is reduced (see col. line 15-col.6 line 67); but Gliebe does not clearly teach that generating an exciting sound wave having a primary frequency generally different from a frequency of the primary tone of the noise.

However Motsinger teaches that generating an exciting sound wave having a primary frequency generally different from a frequency of the primary tone of the noise (see fig.2 and col.4 line 9-col.5 line37).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Gliebe into Motsinger to achieve by

Art Unit: 2643

modulating the sound energy of the engine with an additional higher frequency sound source as produced by an ultrasonic siren.

Consider claims 2-3, Gliebe teaches the fluid medium is air (see fig.1, 26); and the exciting sound wave is generated by a force of a fluid flow acting on a mechanical device (see fig.1, 30 outlet guide vanes (OGV) or stator vanes) and col.5 lines 15-46).

Consider claim 4, Gliebe teaches a noise attenuation system for suppressing noise having a primary tone from a noise source comprising:

an elongated housing (see fig.1, 10) surrounding the noise source, the housing having a first (26) and second (18) openings on opposite ends, wherein the a sound wave from the noise source propagates in air outwardly towards the first (26) and second (18) openings; and

an exciting sound wave generator (see fig.1, 36a, 36b and 30(outlet guide vanes (OGV) or stator vanes)) associated with the housing, the generator (see fig.1, 36a, 36b and 30(outlet guide vanes (OGV) or stator vanes)) generating an exciting sound wave so that sound energy of the noise is re-distributed from the frequency of the primary tone to a broad range of side bands and the amplitude of the primary tone of the noise is reduced (see ol.5 line 15-col.6 line 67); but Gliebe does not clearly teach a primary frequency generally different from a frequency of the primary tone of the noise to excite the air within the housing and modulate the primary tone of the noise.

However, Motsinger teaches a primary frequency generally different from a frequency of the primary tone of the noise to excite the air within the housing and modulate the primary tone of the noise (see fig.2 and col.4 line 9-col.5 line 37).

Art Unit: 2643

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Gliebe into Motsinger to achieve by modulating the sound energy of the engine with an additional higher frequency sound source as produced by an ultrasonic siren.

Consider claims 5-6, Gliebe teaches the exciting sound wave generator (see fig.1, 36a, 36b and 30 (outlet guide vanes (OGV) or stator vanes)) is positioned on an inner wall of the housing; and the exciting sound wave generator comprises a mechanical device (see fig.1,30 and fig.3,30) excited by a force of air flow to generate the exciting sound wave (see col.5 lines 15-46).

Consider claim 7 Gliebe teaches a noise attenuation system for suppressing noise having a primary tone from a jet engine comprising:

a nacelle (see fig.1, 22) surrounding the jet engine (16), the nacelle (22) having an inlet (24b) and an outlet (24c) for receiving and exhausting air flow respectively, wherein a sound wave of the noise produced from the jet engine (16) propagates outwardly towards the inlet (24b) and outlet (24c); and

an exciting sound wave generator (see fig.1, 36a, 36b and 30 ( outlet guide vanes (OGV) or stator vanes)) associated with the nacelle (22), so that sound energy of the noise is re-distributed from the frequency of the primary tone to a broad range of side bands and the amplitude of the primary tone of the noise is reduced (see col.5 line 15-col.6 line 67); but Gliebe does not clearly teach that generating an exciting sound wave having a primary frequency generally different from a frequency of the primary tone of the noise to excite the air flow in the nacelle and modulate the primary tone of the noise.

Art Unit: 2643

However, Motsinger teaches that generating an exciting sound wave having a primary frequency generally different from a frequency of the primary tone of the noise to excite the air flow in the nacelle (see fig.1,48) and modulate the primary tone of the noise (see fig.2 and col.4 line9-col.5 line 37).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Gliebe into Motsinger to achieve by modulating the sound energy of the engine with an additional higher frequency sound source as produced by an ultrasonic siren.

Consider claims 8-9, Gliebe teaches the exciting sound wave generator (see fig.1, 36a, 36b and 30 (outlet guide vanes (OGV) or stator vanes)) is positioned on an inner wall of the nacelle (22) at the inlet; and the exciting sound wave generator (see fig.1, 36a, 36b and 30 (outlet guide vanes (OGV) or stator vanes)) comprises a mechanical device (30) excited by a force of air flow to generate the exciting sound wave (see fig.3, 30 and col.5 lines 15-46).

Consider claims 10-11, Gliebe teaches the mechanical device (see fig.1, 30 and fig.3, 30) comprises a fence member (a plurality of circumferentially spaced apart outlet guide vanes (OGVs), or stator vanes 30 extend radially between outer and inner duct walls 24a,d) exposed to the air flow entering the inlet (24b) of the nacelle (22); and the mechanical device (see fig.1, 30 and fig.3, 30) comprises an aperture defined in the inner wall, an air flow jetting from the aperture into the nacelle (22 and see col.5 lines 15-46).

Art Unit: 2643

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-11 have been considered but are

moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure. Nagami (US PAT. 5,293,578) is cited to show other the fan and

compressor noise attenuation.

7. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:(703) 872-9306

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington.

VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Lao, Lun-See whose telephone number is (703) 305-2259 The examiner

can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 to 6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Curtis Kuntz, can be reached on (703) 305-4708.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Lao, Lun-See Patent Examiner US Patent and Trademark Office Crystal Park 2 (703305-2259

DUC NGUYEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 7